

**From:** Ripley, Laura  
**Sent:** Thur 8/13/2015 1:37:07 PM  
**Subject:** FW: SSID for Gold King - update

*Thanks!*

*--Laura*

*Laura Ripley*

*Office of Budget*

*Ph: (202) 564-1582*

**From:** Ripley, Laura  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 13, 2015 9:27 AM  
**To:** Blackman, Richard; Nguyen, Khanh  
**Cc:** Layman, Joseph; Volin, Phyllis; Erwin, Andrew  
**Subject:** RE: SSID for Gold King - update

Richard:

Technically if we want to pull the "site costs" for this specific release it is one SSID – from one release. If you want a snapshot by region in the account code structure the organization should be able to provide you with whether it was Region 6, Region 7 or Region 8. The SSID is in the site project field so it is in addition to organization. From my understanding OEM was pulling something together, but maybe Andrew can set up a call to have OSWER/OB/OEM on the line so we can discuss further.

*Thanks!*

*--Laura*

*Laura Ripley*

*Office of Budget*

*Ph: (202) 564-1582*

**From:** Blackman, Richard  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 13, 2015 7:31 AM  
**To:** Ripley, Laura; Nguyen, Khanh  
**Cc:** Layman, Joseph; Volin, Phyllis  
**Subject:** RE: SSID for Gold King - update

Laura,

Question from Region 6.

When Region 8 or 7 people provide support (On-scene coordinators or scientists or whatever) to Region 6, should Region 6 pay for this (and charge the Region 6 accounting info) or should Region 7 or 8 be bearing the cost?

Part of the concern is how we'll be reporting on this later and the end-of-year payroll snapshot.

The three regions have been conducting daily meetings & there's no consistency in how they charge. The three regions have separate site IDs for their own issues (Region 6 is A6L9, Region 7 doesn't have one—they are charging to Region 6's; Region 8 has one, but he doesn't know what it is. Region 9 will also create one since they are responsible for the Navajo nation which is impacted).

bottom line, sounds like OSWER (or somebody) needs to coordinate asap.

richard

**From:** Ripley, Laura  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 12, 2015 3:21 PM  
**To:** Wilbur, Jennifer; Nguyen, Khanh  
**Cc:** Blackman, Richard; Layman, Joseph

**Subject:** RE: SSID for Gold King - update

Jennifer:

I am unclear as to why we would treat this SF site differently from any other SF site. If the SSID is set up specifically for this release all of the costs should be associated with the Removal cleanup work. I'm unclear as to why other AAships would be distinguished since OGC/OCFO/etc. would be included in the overall indirect cost rate that we would try to recover from the PRPs. We don't track them separately.

We can discuss but our management's initial thoughts was that this would be handled by the program. We are definitely willing to assist if you think it is needed, but I don't necessarily want to create work if the current SSID is sufficient.

*Thanks!*

*--Laura*

*Laura Ripley*

*Office of Budget*

*Ph: (202) 564-1582*

**From:** Wilbur, Jennifer  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 12, 2015 2:28 PM  
**To:** Ripley, Laura; Nguyen, Khanh  
**Subject:** FW: SSID for Gold King - update

Laura/Khanh

Forwarding Victoria's email regarding the coding/tracking – it appears OCFO sent out a memo during Deepwater Horizon to address given the multiple regions involved. Are their plans to do the same?

Please let me know.

Thanks

Jen

**From:** Vanroden, Victoria  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 12, 2015 1:04 PM  
**To:** Wilbur, Jennifer  
**Cc:** Burnham, Larry; Wilson, Gregory; Beasley, Lynn; Guarneiri, Lisa  
**Subject:** FW: SSID for Gold King - update

Hi Jennifer. Attached is the memo OCFO OB sent out establishing PPL ID for Deepwater Horizon BP Spill. There is a non-reimbursable ID code example in this memo too.

It was my understanding that Richard Blackman's group developed memo and set up the ID for tracking. Lavone did not work here during BP and did not establish this ID. I am assuming this ID will be used by all – meaning HQ, Regions, other AAships, OGC, etc., as the DWH ID was used. Who knows, maybe OSWER did their own DWH memo too, but I was not working here then. But seems like OSWER would instead forward this same memo to SF DDs, RMs, etc.

I would like to make sure a different ID is established than A8K9 (I think that is the SSID) so that folks are not charging to the Superfund Site ID established for NPL/removal cleanup work. This new ID would be for tracking this release and differentiate it from SF work.

Lavone was planning to contact Joe Laymen on next steps for setting up ID and memo. Before she proceeds, wanted to confirm you agree with this approach or if you should be contacting OCFO.

Thanks

Victoria L. van Roden

U.S. EPA/OSWER/OEM/RMD

202-564-4253 (work)

202-604-3059 (cell)